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*Artemisia annua* L. is an aromatic-antibacterial herb that destroys malarial parasites, lowers fevers and checks bleeding, and of which the secondary compound of interest is artemisinin. Enhanced production of the artemisinin content in the whole plant is highly desirable. Keeping in mind, the importance of this valuable antimalarial plant, field experiments were conducted to investigate the effects of triacontanol alone and in combination with gibberellic acid on growth attributes, photosynthesis, enzymatic activities, essential oil and artemisinin content and yield of *Artemisia*. The results indicate that combination of triacontanol and gibberellic acid (1.5 mg l\(^{-1}\)/C\(_{28}\)1 and 75 mg l\(^{-1}\)/C\(_{28}\)) significantly increased activities of nitrate reductase and carbonic anhydrase by 25.9% and 21.5%, and net photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance and internal CO\(_2\) by 25.4%, 14.1% and 15.4% higher, respectively, when compared to unsprayed plants. This combined treatment also significantly enhanced artemisinin content and yield (29% and 61% higher values).
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Introduction

*Artemisia annua* L. is an aromatic plant which has been used for centuries in Chinese traditional medicine for the treatment of fever and malaria (Klayman 1985). It has been introduced into experimental cultivation in India (Singh et al. 1986), Thailand, Brazil, Australia, Madagascar and in Europe into The Netherlands, Switzerland and France as far north as Finland (Laughlin 2002). Being the world’s most severe parasitic infection, malaria causes more than 1 million deaths and 500 million cases annually. Despite tremendous efforts for the control of malaria, the global morbidity and mortality have not been significantly changed in the last 50 years (World Health Organization [WHO] 2002). The main problem is the failure to find effective medicines against malaria.

Artemisinin, a sesquiterpene lactone containing an endoperoxide bridge, has become increasingly popular as an effective and safe alternative therapy against malaria (Abdin et al. 2003). Artemisinin and its derivatives are effective against multi-drug resistant, *Plasmodium falciparum* strains mainly in Southeast Asia and more recently in Africa, without any reputed cases of resistance (Kremsner and Krishna 2004).

Plant growth regulators (PGRs) stimulate growth and terpenoid biosynthesis in various aromatic plants, which can result in beneficial changes in both quality and quantity of terpenoids (Shukla et al. 1992). Biosynthesis of terpenoids is dependent on primary metabolism, e.g., photosynthesis and oxidative pathways for carbon and energy supply (Singh et al. 1990).

The PGR such as triacontanol (TRIA) increases dry matter production may influence the inter-relation between primary and secondary metabolism leading to increased biosynthesis of secondary products. Gibberellic acid (GA\(_3\)), a phytohormone exhibits a broad spectrum of physiological effects in plants. It induces growth, photosynthesis, flowering and cell expansion (Yuan and Xu 2001; Taiz and Zeiger 2006). GA\(_3\) also enhances the metabolic activity within pathways leading to stress (defense-related secondary metabolites) and anthocyanin biosynthesis (Ohlsson and Bjork 1988).

Several PGRs have been tested for enhancing artemisinin production but only TRIA and GA\(_3\) individually proved effective for artemisinin content and yield (Shukla et al. 1992; Ferreira et al. 1997, 2005; Weathers et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2005). Taking into account the importance of this enormously important antimalarial drug plant, it was decided to conduct field experiments to test whether the foliar spray of TRIA alone or together with GA\(_3\) could improve the crop productivity, photosynthetic capacity, enzymatic activities and artemisinin production in *Artemisia annua* L.

Materials and methods

**Plant material and sampling procedures**

The seeds of *Artemisia annua* L. were initially sown in November in the seedbeds to obtain seedlings. The seedlings were transplanted into the field in January at
a spacing of 45 × 45 cm. Physico-chemical characteristics of the soil were: texture-sandy loam, pH (1:2) 7.2, E.C. (1:2) 0.46 mhos cm⁻¹, available N, P and K 98.84, 6.83 and 142.9 mg kg⁻¹ soil, respectively. There were 15 Artemisia plants in each plot and the plots were maintained at 1 m distance to nullify the effect of treatments. Each treatment was replicated five times, using randomized block design. Before transplantation, a uniform basal dose of N, P and K applied at the rate of 80, 40 and 40 kg ha⁻¹, respectively, to the field plots. The plants were sprayed with TRIA alone and in combination with different concentrations of GA₃. There were four treatments excluding control (double distilled water). The treatments consisted of: (i) 1.5 mg l⁻¹ TRIA (T₁), (ii) 1.5 mg l⁻¹ TRIA + 50 mg l⁻¹ GA₃ (T₂), (iii) 1.5 mg l⁻¹ TRIA + 75 mg l⁻¹ GA₃ (T₃), and (iv) 1.5 mg l⁻¹ TRIA + 100 mg l⁻¹ GA₃ (T₄). Foliar sprays of both PGRs were given at 10-day intervals starting from 30 days after planting (DAP). The plants were irrigated as and when required. Plants were sampled at 90 DAP. The experiments were conducted in two successive years and the mean of the data of both years was taken into consideration.

**Physiological and biochemical analyses**

The fresh leaves were used for the analysis of various physiological and biochemical attributes except leaf N, P and K content.

**Determination of net photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance and internal CO₂**

Net photosynthetic rate (Pₙ), stomatal conductance (gs) and internal CO₂ (c) were measured on sunny days at 11:00 h using fully expanded leaves of *Artemisia annua* L. with the help of an IRGA (Infra Red Gas Analyzer, LI-COR 6400 Portable Photosynthesis System, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA). Before recording the measurement, the IRGA was calibrated and zero was adjusted approximately every 30 min during the measurement period. Each leaf was enclosed in a 1-litre gas exchange chamber for 60 s. All the attributes measured by IRGA were recorded three times for each treatment.

**Estimation of total chlorophyll and carotenoid content**

Total chlorophyll and carotenoid contents in fresh leaves were estimated by the method of MacKinney (1941) and MacLachlan and Zalik (1963), respectively. One hundred mg of fresh tissue from interveinal leaf-areas was ground using a mortar and pestle containing 80% acetone. The optical density (OD) of the solution was recorded at 645 and 663 nm for chlorophyll estimation and at 480 and 510 nm for carotenoid estimation using a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1700, Tokyo, Japan).

**Determination of nitrate reductase (NR) activity**

Nitrate reductase (E.C. 1.6.6.1) activity in the leaf was determined by the intact tissue assay method of Jaworski (1971). Chopped leaf pieces (200 mg) were incubated for 2 h at 30°C in a 5.5 ml reaction mixture, which contained 2.5 ml of 0.1 M phosphate buffer, 0.5 ml of 0.2 M potassium nitrate, and 2.5 ml of 5% isopropanol. The nitrite formed subsequently was colorimetrically determined at 540 nm after azocoupling with sulphanilamide and naphthylene diamine dihydrochloride. The NR activity was expressed as nM NO₂ g⁻¹ FW h⁻¹.

**Determination of carbonic anhydrase (CA) activity**

Carbonic anhydrase (E.C. 4.2.1.1) activity was measured in fresh leaves using the method as described by Dwivedi and Randhawa (1974). Two hundred mg of fresh leaf pieces were weighed and transferred to Petri plates. The leaf pieces were dipped in 10 ml of 0.2 M cysteine hydrochloride solution for 20 min at 4°C. To each test tube, 4 ml of 0.2 M sodium bicarbonate solution and 0.2 ml of 0.022% bromothymol blue were added. The reaction mixture was titrated against 0.05 N HCl using methyl red as indicator. The enzyme was expressed as µM CO₂ kg⁻¹ leaf FW s⁻¹.

**Estimation of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium contents in leaves**

Leaf samples from each treatment were digested for the estimation of leaf N, P and K content. The leaves were dried in a hot air oven at 80°C for 24 h. Dried leaves were powdered using a mortar and pestle and the powder was passed through a 72 mesh. The sieved leaf-powder was used for N, P and K content. One hundred mg of oven-dried leaf powder was carefully transferred to a digestion tube where 2 ml of AR (analytical reagent) grade concentrated sulfuric acid was added also. This solution was heated on a temperature controlled assembly at 80°C temperature for about 2 h and then cooled for about 15 min at room temperature. Afterwards, 0.5 ml of 30% hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) was added to the solution. The addition of H₂O₂ followed by heating was repeated until the contents of the tube became colorless. The prepared aliquot (peroxide-digested material) was used to estimate per cent N, P and K in the leaves on the dry weight basis.

**Estimation of N, P and K contents**

Leaf N content was estimated according to the method of Lindner (1944) with slight modification by Novozamsky et al. (1983). The leaf dried powder was digested in H₂SO₄ in a digestion tube. A 10 ml aliquot (peroxide-digested-material) was poured into a 50 ml volumetric flask where 2 ml of a 2.5 N sodium hydroxide and 1 ml of 10% sodium silicate solutions were added to neutralize the acid excess and prevent turbidity. A 5 ml aliquot of this solution was poured...
into a 10 ml graduated test tube and a 0.5 ml Nessler’s reagent was added. The OD of the solution was recorded at 525 nm, using the spectrophotometer.

The method of Fiske and Subba Row (1925) with slight modification by Rorison et al. (1993) was used to estimate the leaf-P content in the digested material. The peroxide-digested material was used to determine the leaf-P content. A 5 ml aliquot was poured into a 10 ml graduated test tube where 1 ml of molybdic acid (2.5%) was added, followed by addition of 0.4 ml 1-amino-2-naphthol-4-sulphonic acid. When the color became blue, the volume was increased to 10 ml with the addition of double distilled water. The OD of the solution was recorded at 620 nm using the spectrophotometer.

Potassium content in the leaves was analyzed flame-photometrically (Hald 1946). It was estimated in the aliquot with the help of emission spectra using specific filters. In the flame-photometer, the solution (peroxide-digested-material) was discharged through an atomizer in the form of a fine mist into a chamber, where it is drawn into a flame. Combustion of the element produces light of a particular wavelength [λmax for K = 767 nm (violet)]. The light produced was passed through the appropriate filter to impinge upon a photoelectric cell that activates a galvanometer. Readings were recorded with the help of emission spectra using specific filter in a flame-photometer (Model, C150, AIMIL, India).

Yield and quality parameters

For the yield attributes, 15 plants from each treatment were collected at 90 DAP. The dried leaves were weighed for measuring the leaf-yield accordingly. Artemisinin yield was calculated by multiplying corresponding leaf-yield and artemisinin content.

Estimation of essential oil content

The leaf samples were steam distilled for 4 h using a Clevenger’s apparatus by which the essential oil was extracted and determined gravimetrically. A total of 100 g of fresh leaves were used for the oil extraction. The essential oil content was calculated in percentage.

Artemisinin extraction and estimation

Dry leaf material (1 g) was used for the estimation of artemisinin by the method as described by Zhao and Zeng (1986). As artemisinin lacks any chromophore for UV detection in HPLC hence, it was chemically modified to a compound Q 260. It was then quantified for UV detection in HPLC hence, it was chemically Zeng (1986). As artemisinin lacks any chromophore artemisinin by the method as described by Zhao and Dry leaf material (1 g) was used for the estimation of Artemisinin extraction and estimation

The essential oil content was calculated in percentage. 100 g of fresh leaves were used for the oil extraction. The leaf samples were steam distilled for 4 h using a Clevenger’s apparatus by which the essential oil was extracted and determined gravimetrically. A total of 100 g of fresh leaves were used for the oil extraction. The essential oil content was calculated in percentage.

Results

Foliar spray of TRIA alone and together with different concentrations of GA3 increased the growth of the plants. Of the four concentrations, treatment T3 proved better than the other concentrations. It was effective in increasing the values of all growth attributes over their respective controls. Shoot and root lengths were increased by 29.8% and 21.7%, respectively, in comparison to their respective controls when treated with 1.5 mg l\(^{-1}\) TRIA + 75 mg l\(^{-1}\) GA3 (Table 1). The combined effect of TRIA and GA3 treatment (T3) enhanced the fresh weight and dry weight per plant by 22.5% and 19.4%, respectively, when compared to control plants (Table 1).

Foliar application of TRIA alone accelerated the rate of photosynthesis, stomatal conductance and internal CO\(_2\) when compared to non-sprayed plants. However, the combined application of both PGRs was found more effective in enhancing the rate of photosynthesis. The treatment (T3) increased \(P_{\text{N, g}}\), \(g_s\), and \(c_i\) by 25.4%, 14.1% and 15.5% higher, respectively, exceeding the control (Figure 1 a-c).

Amongst the biochemical parameters, total chlorophyll and carotenoid contents (18.5% and 11.9% higher values) increased by the treatment T3 (Figure 2a, 2b). The combined spray of TRIA and GA3 (1.5 mg l\(^{-1}\) TRIA + 75 mg l\(^{-1}\) GA3) enhanced the activities of nitrate reductase and carbonic anhydrase maximally by 22.5% and 17.8%, respectively, when
compared to their controls (Figure 2c, 2d). TRIA in combination with GA3 significantly increased the leaf N, P and K contents. The concentrations of leaf nutrients (N, P and K) were found significantly greater at treatment T3 over the control. It significantly increased the nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium contents by 23.7%, 18.4% and 19.4%, respectively, over the control (Figure 3a).

The application of TRIA and GA3 did not increase the essential oil content and result was found non-significant (Figure 4a). Data indicates that leaf artemisinin content was also found maximum in plants treated with both PGRs. The treatment (1.5 mg l\(^{-1}\) TRIA + 75 mg l\(^{-1}\) GA3) improved the artemisinin content and by 28.4% as compared to non-sprayed plants (Figure 4b). The combined effect of TRIA and GA3 (1.5 mg l\(^{-1}\) TRIA + 75 mg l\(^{-1}\) GA3) was found more beneficial in increasing leaf-yield when compared to the non-sprayed plants. The application of both PGRs (Treatment T3) resulted in maximum leaf-yield which increased by 28.9% in comparison to control (Figure 4c). The treatment (1.5 mg l\(^{-1}\) TRIA + 75 mg l\(^{-1}\) GA3) improved the artemisinin yield maximally and registered 61.0% higher value as compared to control (Figure 4d).

**Discussion**

The significant increase in growth attributes (shoot and root lengths, fresh and dry weights per plant) treated with TRIA and in combination with GA3 could be ascribed by the well known roles of TRIA and GA3 in plants (Table 1). It is established fact that GA3 promotes cell enlargement and cell division (Buchanan et al. 2000; Taiz and Zeiger 2006) while TRIA elicits a secondary messenger which moves rapidly throughout the plant resulting in stimulation of growth (dry weight increase) and water uptake (Ries 1985; Khan et al. 2007; Naeeem et al. 2009).

Earlier studies have reported that gibberellic acid as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Shoot length (cm)</th>
<th>Root length (cm)</th>
<th>Fresh weight/plant (g)</th>
<th>Dry weight/plant (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>149.9 ± 1.12(^d)</td>
<td>45.6 ± 0.50(^d)</td>
<td>525.5 ± 8.55(^d)</td>
<td>164.8 ± 3.64(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>157.5 ± 0.92(^c)</td>
<td>47.4 ± 0.50(^c)</td>
<td>554.4 ± 8.28(^c)</td>
<td>172.3 ± 4.04(^bc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>167.9 ± 1.21(^b)</td>
<td>49.0 ± 0.40(^b)</td>
<td>581.5 ± 9.21(^b)</td>
<td>179.3 ± 3.98(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>194.7 ± 1.36(^a)</td>
<td>55.5 ± 0.64(^a)</td>
<td>643.6 ± 7.82(^a)</td>
<td>196.7 ± 3.23(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>158.4 ± 1.24(^c)</td>
<td>47.7 ± 0.69(^c)</td>
<td>582.4 ± 6.73(^b)</td>
<td>174.4 ± 4.01(^bc)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Effect of foliar sprays of different concentrations of TRIA and GA\(_3\) on growth attributes of *Artemisia annua* L. Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different (\(p \leq 0.05\)). The data shown are means of five replicates ± SE.

![Figure 1](image-url)
Figure 2. Effect of foliar application of different concentrations of TRIA and GA$_3$ on chlorophyll content (a) and carotenoid content (b), nitrate reductase activity (c) and carbonic anhydrase activity (D) of Artemisia annua L. Bars showing the same letter are not significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ as determined by Duncan’s Multiple Range test. Error bars (T) show SE.

Figure 3. Effect of foliar application of different concentrations of TRIA and GA$_3$ on leaf nitrogen (a), phosphorus (b) and potassium (c) contents of Artemisia annua L. Bars showing the same letter are not significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ as determined by Duncan’s Multiple Range test. Error bars (T) show SE.
foliar spray on transplanted cutting increased plant height (Sadowska et al. 1984). Srivastava and Srivastava (2007) reported that foliar spray of GA3 increased plant height and leaf length. An increase in growth parameters like shoot and root lengths, fresh and dry weights in plants sprayed with TRIA and GA3 (Treatment T3) is in accordance with the known fact that exogenous application of PGRs evoke the intrinsic genetic potential of the plant causing increase in elongation of internodes as a consequence of cell division and cell wall extensibility (Moore 1989; Khan et al. 2006; Taiz and Zeiger 2006). Similar results were also reported by Srivastava and Sharma (1990) and Naeem et al. (2009) in case of Papaver somniferum and Lablab purpureus L., respectively, by TRIA application. On the other hand, Srivastava and Srivastava (2007) and Shah et al. (2006) reported growth promotion by GA3 in Catharanthus roseus and Nigella sativa, respectively.

The present work reveals that a significant improvement was found in chlorophyll and carotenoid concentrations when TRIA and GA3 were applied together (Figure 2a, 2b). An increase in total chlorophyll and photosynthetic CO2 assimilation and specific activity of Rubisco by TRIA (Trewavas and Gilory 1991) and by GA3 (Taiz and Zeiger 2006) has already been reported. The increased contents of photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll and carotenoid) could be attributed to the increase in number and size of chloroplasts by TRIA spray as revealed by Ivanov and Angelov (1997), and to the enhancement of ultrastructural morphogenesis of plastids by GA3 (Arteca 1996). Increase in photosynthesis has already been reported as an important response to TRIA which could be associated with increased chlorophyll content in leaves (Ivanov and Angelov 1997; Naeem et al. 2009). In fact, a number of studies have demonstrated an increased rate of CO2 fixation in a variety of plant species by the application of nanomolar concentrations of TRIA and GA3 (Srivastava and Sharma 1990; Guoping 1997; Kumaravelu et al. 2000; Muthuchelian et al. 2003).

Foliar application of TRIA and GA3 increased CA activity, with 1.5 mg l⁻¹ TRIA + 75 mg l⁻¹ GA3 treatment proving the best. In the present study, TRIA sprayed leaves showed a greater CA activity than the control (Figure 3d). Such a response of the plants to the applied TRIA or in combination with GA3 is expected because both tested PGRs have diverse role in the physiological processes and consequently improved the stomatal conductance (Figure 1b) that might have facilitated the diffusion of carbon dioxide into the stomata. In turn, the CO2 might have been acted upon by CA. Finally, the CO2 could be reduced by ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) in the chloroplast stroma. A probable reason for the enhancement of CA activity due to TRIA alone or by application of both PGRs might be
the *de novo* synthesis of CA, which involves translation/transcription of the genes associated (Okabe et al. 1980). Enhancement in the CA activity in treated plants might have responsible for the enhanced rate of CO₂ fixation and hence have resulted in significant increase in fresh and dry weight of treated plants.

Nitrate reductase (NR) is the key enzyme in nitrogen metabolism and is responsible for the initiation of nitrate assimilation and hence protein synthesis. An increase in NR activity by combined application of TRIA and GA₃ may have exerted a pivotal role in enhancement of photosynthetic rate. The ultimate culmination of enhancement of NR activity has increased overall growth and yield of treated plant. Nitrate reductase activity in leaves was influenced by different concentrations of TRIA + GA₃ as revealed in the present study. The treatment T₃ proved to be the best concentration resulting in a significant increase in NR activity (27.6%) compared to the control (Figure 2c). Such a response at higher concentrations of TRIA and GA₃ has also been reported by Misra and Srivastava (1991), Muthuchelian et al. (2003), Shah et al. (2006) and Naeeem et al. (2009).

Application of TRIA spray alone and in combination with GA₃ proved effective for leaf N, P and K content. The concentrations of leaf nutrients were found significantly greater at 1.5 mg L⁻¹ TRIA + 75 mg L⁻¹ GA₃ over the control. However, 1.5 mg L⁻¹ TRIA + 100 mg L⁻¹ GA₃ concentration did not further increase nutrient elements as compared to treatment T₃ (Figure 3a-c). Enhancement in leaf-nutrients, particularly nitrogen, due to TRIA application could be attributed to the compositional or chemical change in plants leading to alterations in nitrogen concentration (Knowles and Ries 1981). Presumably, increased uptake of nutrients enhanced photosynthesis and improved translocation of photosynthates and other metabolites to the sinks that might have contributed to the improved yield of TRIA treated plants. These findings are in accordance with data on TRIA effects reported regarding plant nutrient elements (Kumaravelu et al. 2000; Khan et al. 2006; Khan et al. 2007; Naeeem et al. 2009). GA₃ increase membrane permeability (Crozier and Turnbull 1984; Al Wakeel et al. 1995). An increase in membrane permeability would facilitate absorption and utilization of mineral nutrient (Khan et al. 1998) and also transport of assimilate. Moreover, it contributes towards enhancing the capacity of the treated plants for biomass production as reflected in shoot and root dry mass of the plants. This enhancement could be the result of increased uptake of nutrients, enhanced photosynthesis and improved translocation of photosynthates and other metabolites to the reproductive parts (Miniraj and Shanmugavelu 1987). This sustained increase in the above mentioned parameters of the treated plants which is expected to culminate the maximization of artemisinin content and its yield. The concentration of artemisinin in the dry leaves was significantly higher in all PGRs sprayed plants as compared to control. The artemisinin concentration was highest in treatment T₃ (Figure 4b). It has already been reported that TRIA significantly enhanced the artemisinin yield in the leaves (Shukla et al. 1992). On the other hand, GA₃ also improved the level of artemisinin content as studied by Ferreira and Janick (2002), Weathers et al. (2005) and Zhang et al. (2005).

No doubt, it is the first report of the combined application of these two tested PGRs on this particular antimalarial plant, which significantly alters artemisinin biosynthesis. Terpenoid biosynthesis through mevalonate-isoprenoid pathway occurs in oil glands which are present in the leaves of the many aromatic plants. The PGRs which can improve leaf development and herbage have been used to increase terpenoid yield (Ries and Wert 1977). In fact, the leaves are the major site of trichomes in which biosynthesis of artemisinin occurs; the increase in artemisinin content by application of PGRs is obvious.

Now it is unequivocally proved that two distinct and independent biosynthetic routes exist to isopentenyl diphasphate (IPP) and its allylic isomer dimethylallyl diphasphate (DMAPP), the two building blocks for isoprenoids in plants. The cytosolic pathway is triggered by Acetyl Coenzyme A where classical intermediate mevalonic acid (MVA) is formed which, in turn, converts into IPP and DMAPP (Figure 5). These further combine to elongate into sesquiterpenes and triterpenes; whereas the plastidial, i.e., MEP pathway provides precursors for the biosynthesis of gibberellins and others terpenes (Akhila 2007). Although this subcellular compartmentation allows both pathways to operate independently in plants, there is evidence that they cooperate in the biosynthesis of certain metabolites (Laule et al. 2003; Kloer et al. 2006). Artemisinin is synthesized from FPP via amorph-a,11-diene and dihydroartemisinic acid involving many steps (Figure 5). It was tempting to suppose that the addition of GA₃ might contribute to some mechanism for stimulating the artemisinin biosynthetic pathway.

**Conclusions**

Therefore, it may be suggested that the combined foliar spray of TRIA + GA₃ (1.5 mg L⁻¹ TRIA + 75 mg L⁻¹ GA₃) was highly effective for production of biomass and artemisinin content and improved the overall performance of the crop. It proved considerably important for increasing photosynthesis, crop productivity, activities of NR, CA and artemisinin content and yield of *Artemisia annua* L. Thus (1.5 mg L⁻¹ TRIA + 75 mg L⁻¹ GA₃) concentration, in the form of foliar spray, might presumably be recommended for maximizing the productivity and quality of *Artemisia annua* L.
**Figure 5.** The biosynthetic pathway of gibberellins and artemisinin (dashed arrow means more than one step) (Laule et al. 2003; Akhila 2007). DMAPP, dimethylallyl pyrophosphate; FPP, farnesyl pyrophosphate; GGPP, geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate; HMBPP, 1-Hydroxy-2-methyl-(E)-butenyl 4-diphosphate; IPP, Isopentenyl pyrophosphate; MEP, Methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate; MVA, Mevalonic acid.
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